Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 SAIGON 01471 062016Z

50

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 /026 W

----- 057696

R 061156Z FEB 75

FM AMEMBASSY SAIGON

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2580

INFO AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY JAKARTA

AMEMBASSY KUALA LUMPUR

AMEMBASSY SINGAPORE

USDEL JEC PARIS

SECRET SAIGON 1471

EXDIS

EO 11652: GDS

TAGS: OVIP MY SN ID VS

SUBJECT: MISSION OF LE QUAN GIANG TO KUALA LUMPUR, SINGAPORE AND JAKARTA

REF: A. KUALA LUMPUR 553

B. SINGAPORE 466

C. JAKARTA 1458

1. LE QUAN GIANG, NUMBER TWO MAN IN GVN FOREIGN MINISTRY, TOLD US TODAY THAT HE WAS VERY WELL SATISFIED WITH HIS RECENTLY COMPLETED TRIP

TO KUALA LUMPUR, SINGAPORE, AND JAKARTA. MOST DETAILS HAVE ALREADY BEEN REPORTED REFTELS AND FOLLOWING ARE ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS WHICH MAY BE OF INTEREST.

2. IN KUALA LUMPUR GIANG NOTED THAT GOM WAS FIRM ON THE "PRG" ISSUE AND HE HAD BEEN TOLD THERE WAS NO CHANGE BEING CONSIDERED. WITH RESPECT TO MAY MEETING OF ASEAN COUNTRIES IN KUALA LUMPUR, GIANG WAS TOLD GVN WOULD BE INVITED AS OBSERVER (SUBJECT TO EXPECTED APPROVAL BY PRIME MINISTER) AND THAT GOM WOULD BE WILLING FOR GVN TO BECOME ASEAN MEMBER BUT WOULD FIRST HAVE TO RECEIVE BLESSING OF OTHER MEMBER STATES. GIANG ALSO SAID THAT GOM AGREED TO USE ITS SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 SAIGON 01471 062016Z

INFLUENCE WITH AUSTRALIA ON "PRG" ISSUE NOTING AS AN ASIDE THAT

AUSTRALIAN POSITION AT LAW OF WAR CONFERENCE HAD BEEN "VERY BAD"

- 3. IN SINGAPORE GIANG IN TALK WITH FOREIGN MINISTER RAJARATNAM RECEIVED IMPRESSION THAT GOVERNMENT OF SINGAPORE WAS NOT WAVERING ON THE ISSUE OF "PRG". GIANG LEARNED THAT RAJARATNAM HAD COMMITTED HIMSELF AT THE ALGIERS CONFERENCE TO RECEIVE "PRG" REPRESENTATIVES WHO WERE IN SINGAPORE DURING GIANG'S OWN VISIT. HE FELT RAJARATNAM'S DECISION INFLUENCED PARTLY BY DOMESTIC SINGAPORE POLITICS AND PARTLY BY NEED TO MAINTAIN STATUS WITH "NON-ALIGNED" COUNTRIES. GIANG EXPRESSED SATISFACTION THAT HE HAD BEEN ABLE TO PROTEST PERSONALLY TO RAJARATNAM ABOUT RECEIVING THE "PRG" REPRESENTATIVES AND NOTED THAT HE HAD DONE SO "BLUNTLY". HE FELT THAT GOVERNMENT OF SINGAPORE RESPRECTED GVN'S SPEAKING OUT ON OWN BEHALF AND THAT HIS HAVING DONE SO HAD HAD HEALTHY EFFECT.
- 4. ON ASEAN HE WAS TOLD BY RAJARATNAM THAT GVN MEMBERSHIP WOULD REQUIRE CONSENSUS OF ASEAN STATES BUT THAT GOS FAVORED ATTENDANCE AT KUALALUMPUR MEETING AS OBSERVER. GIANG EMPHASIZED IN REPLY THAT WHILE THIS ALL VERY WELL, NORTH VIETNAM MUST NOT BE PERMITTED TO BAR GVN MEMBERSHIP BY BOYCOTTING ORGANIZATION ITSELF.
- 5. WITH RESPECT TO AUSTRALIA RAJARATNAM TOLD GIANG THAT WHITLAM WILL NOT RECOGNIZE "PRG", BUT HE DID NOT "RESPOND CLEARLY" TO REQUEST THAT SINGAPORE USE INFLUENCE ON BEHALF OF GVN WITH CANBERRA
- 6. RAJARATNAM GAVE GIANG HIS FRANK VIEW THAT THE UNITED STATES IS "WINDING UP ITS RESPONSIBILITIES IN SOUTHEAST ASIA" AND THAT THIS WAS THE REASON WHY SINGAPORE HAD TO "MAKE CALCULATIONS" ABOUT THE FUTURE.
- 7. SPEAKING OF HIS VISIT TO JAKARTA, GIANG NOTED THAT FOREIGN MINISTRY DIRECTOR GENERAL DIDI DJADJADINGRAT WAS A "HARD NUT TO CRACK" AND WOULD NOT BUDGESON POSITION AT LAW OF WAR CONFERENCE. HE FOUND BAFUN DEPUTY GENERAL HERNOWO VERY WELL INFORMED, BETTER THAN FOREIGN MINISTER MALIK WHO SEEMED HAZY ABOUT RULE 32 AT LAW OF WAR CONFERENCE. REGARDING AUSTRALIA MALIK TOLD GIANG GOI WAS IN SIMILAR POSITION TO GVN VIS-A-VIS SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 SAIGON 01471 062016Z

CANBERRA. CANBERRA IS MAKING PROBLEMS FOR GOI CONCERNING POLITICAL

PRISONERS AND GOI WAS CONCERNED THAT AUSTRALIANS
MIGHT RAISE THIS ISSUE AT LAW OF WAR CONFERENCE. STILL, MALIK
SAID THAT IF OCCASION AROSE HE WOULD "TALK TO" AUSTRALIANS ON
"PRG" ISSUE. ON ASEAN MEETING, MALIK AGREED TO OBSERVER
STATUS. GIANG RECEIVED IMPRESSION FROM MALIK THAT GOI WANTS
TO RETAIN ITS IMAGE OF BEING "COLD" TO RVN SO IT CAN PLAY ROLE WITH

NORTH VIETNAMESE AT LATER DATE. IF GOI WERE FRIENDLY TO GVN IT WOULD LOSE ITS INFLUENCE WITH HANOI. THE COMMUNISTS, GIANG SAID, EXPLOIT THIS PSYCHOLOGY TO INFLUENCE THE INDONESIANS BY PROTESTING AT EVERY IMAGINED SLIGHT (AS WE OURSELVES HAVE OBSERVED). IN GIANG'S VIEW GVN SHOULD ADOPT SIMILAR TACTIC TO OFFSET COMMUNIST ADVANTAGE. IN GENERAL GIANG DID NOT RECEIVE THE IMPRESSION THE GOVERNMENT OF INDONESIA WAS IMBUED WITH PESSIMISM ABOUT SVN'S FUTURE. EVERYONE HE SPOKE TO ASSURED HIM THAT GOI WAS NOT REALLY NEUTRAL BUT SYMPATHETIC WITH GVN CAUSE. ALTHOUGH MALIK DID NOT SAY SO, GIANG RECEIVED IMPRESSION THAT MALIK WAS THINKING INDONESIA IN FUTURE COULD PLAY A MIDDLEMAN ROLE OF SOME KIND IN NEGOTIATIONS ON INDOCHINA.

8. ACCORDING TO GIANG THE NLF REPRESENTATIVE IN JAKARTA GOES TO THE NORTH VIETNAMESE EMBASSY EVERY MORNING AND USES ONE OF NVN DIPLOMATIC AUTOMOBILES. GVN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE REPRESENTATIVE NANG, ON OTHER HAND, HAS ONLY ONE ASSISTANT AND TOGETHER THE TWO MUST PERSONALLY PICK UP POUCHES, CODE TELEGRAMS, ETC.

THIS GREATLY LIMITS OPERATIONS OF GVN OFFICE.
STILL GIANG WAS PLEASED TO LEARN THAT HEAD OF OFFICE, NANG,
SAW MALIK TWELVE TIMES LASY YEAR.

- 9. GIANG RETURNED TO SAIGON WITH THESE CONVICTIONS:
- (A) GVN MISSIONS IN KUALA LUMPUR AND SINGAPORE MUST BE UPGRADED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (UPGRADING IN JAKARTA THOUGH GREATLY NEEDED UNFORTUNATELY IMPOSSIBLE UNDER GOI IMPOSED LIMITATIONS).
- (B) GVN IN ON RIGHT COURSE IN ENGAGING IN ACTIVE PERSONAL DIPLOMACY AND IN FORTHRIGHTLY PRESENTING ITS POSITIONS THROUGH ITS OWN REPRESENTATIVES TO NEIGHBORING GOVERNMENTS.
- (C) GVN SHOULD WORK UP AND SEND TO ITS MISSIONS FAR GREATER VOLUME OF INFORMATION MATERIALS THAT AT PRESENT CONCERNING SITUATION IN SVN TO ENABLE VIETNAMESE DIPLOMATS TO MAKE SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 SAIGON 01471 062016Z

MORE PERSUASIVE CASE IN DISCUSSIONS WITH HOST COUNTRIES. HE NOTED IN THIS REGARD THAT MALAYSIANS HAD EXPRESSED WILLINGNESS TO HELP GVN OUT IN THEIR CONTACTS WITH AFRICAN COUNTRIES, BUT NEEDED BETTER INFORMATION TO DO SO.

10. GIANG WAS EXTREMELY GRATEFUL FOR ENCOURAGEMENT AND ASSISTANCE EXTENDED HIM BY OUR EMBASSIES ALONG ROUTE. HE PARTICULARLY APPRECIATED FRIENDLY ASSISTANCE EXTENDED BY EMBASSY JAKARTA WHICH HELPED MAKE UP FOR LIMITED CAPABILITIES OF SMALL GVN JAKARTA OFFICE.

LEHMANN

SECRET

	Margaret F. Grareid Declassified/Released 03 Department of State EO Systematic Review 03 JOL 2000
NNN	

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 06 FEB 1975 Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: buchantr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975SAIGON01471

Document Number: 1975SAIGON01471 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D750044-0426

From: SAIGON

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750266/aaaachkm.tel Line Count: 165 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ACTION SS

Original Classification: SECRET Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS Reference: 75 KUALA LUMPUR 553 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: buchantr

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 15 MAY 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <15 MAY 2003 by ifshinsr>; APPROVED <08 OCT 2003 by buchantr>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: MISSION OF LE QUAN GIANG TO KUALA LUMPUR, SINGAPORE AND JAKARTA

TAGS: OVIP, MY, SN, ID, VS, (LE QUAN GIANG)
To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006